arXiv:1702.03683v1 [math.AT] 13 Feb 2017

VANISHING LINES FOR MODULES OVER THE MOTIVIC STEENROD ALGEBRA

DREW HEARD AND ACHIM KRAUSE

Abstract. We study criteria for freeness and for the existence of a vanishing line for modules over certain sub-Hopf algebras of the motivic Steenrod algebra over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}$ at the prime 2. These turn out to be determined by the vanishing of certain Margolis homology groups in the quotient Hopf algebra $\mathcal{A}/\tau$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ be the classical mod p Steenrod algebra. Criteria for freeness and for the existence of a vanishing line for modules over sub-Hopf algebras of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ have been obtained by Adams–Margolis [AM74, AM71], Moore–Peterson [MP72], Anderson–Davis [AD73], and Miller–Wilkerson [MW81], with special cases also considered by other authors. These results are of great importance; for example, they are used in a critical way in the proof of the nilpotence and periodicity theorems of Devinatz, Hopkins, and Smith [DHS88, HS98].

Now let $\mathcal{A}$ be the motivic Steenrod algebra. We work over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}$ (although the results also hold for any field k of characteristic 0 containing $\sqrt{-1}$) and at $p = 2$, since the odd-primary motivic Steenrod algebra is a base-changed version of the classical odd-primary Steenrod algebra. By work of Voevodsky, as an algebra, the dual $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{*,*} \cong \mathbb{M}_2[\xi_1, \ldots, \tau_0, \ldots]/(\tau_i^2 = \tau\xi_{i+1}),$$

where $\mathbb{M}_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\tau]$ is the motivic cohomology of a point (see Section 2 for more details on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$).

As shown in [GIR16] and [GI15] the most naive adaptation of the classical results on freeness and vanishing lines cannot hold for $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed note the following:

(1) Let $\mathcal{A}(1)^{\mathrm{cl}}$ be the sub-Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ generated by Sq^1 and Sq^2, and $\mathcal{A}(1)$ the motivic analog. Then an $\mathcal{A}(1)^{\mathrm{cl}}$-module M is projective (equivalently, free) if and only if the Margolis homology groups $H(M; Q_0)$ and $H(M; Q_1)$ vanish. In [GIR16, Ex. 4.6] the authors give an example of an $\mathcal{A}(1)$-module with vanishing $H(M; Q_0)$ and $H(M; Q_1)$ that is not projective. Furthermore, in Prop. 4.7 of *loc. cit.* they show that a third Margolis homology group must vanish, as well as requiring M to be a free $\mathbb{M}_2$-module, in order for M to be a projective $\mathcal{A}(1)$-module (note that the latter condition is automatic classically, since we work over $\mathbb{F}_2$).

(2) Let $\mathcal{A}(0)^{\mathrm{cl}}$ be the sub-Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ generated by Sq^1, and $\mathcal{A}(0)$ the motivic analog. Adams [Ada66, Prop. 2.5] proves that if M is a bounded-below $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}$-module which is free over $\mathcal{A}(0)^{\mathrm{cl}}$, then $\operatorname{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}}(M, \mathbb{F}_2)$ has a vanishing line of slope $1/2$ (that is, when drawn in the $(t-s, s)$ plane, the Ext groups vanish above a line of slope $1/2$). Motivically, Guillou

Date: February 14, 2017.

and Isaksen [GI15, Prop. 7.2] prove that if N is a bounded-below $\mathcal{A}$-module which is free over $\mathcal{A}(0)$, then above a line of slope $1/2$, all the elements of $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t,w}(N, \mathbb{F}_2)$ are h_1-local (here again, the slope refers to only the $(t-s, s)$ plane - the weight does not play a role). They also observed that there is a vanishing line, but now of slope 1.

The goal of this paper is to explain results such as these. The key observation, in a sense already noted in [GIR16], is that it is enough to work with the quotient Hopf algebra $\mathcal{A}/\tau$. We shall see that $\mathcal{A}/\tau$ is a (bigraded) 2-primary version of the classical odd-primary Steenrod algebra. This already demystifies the above results partially; for odd primes, freeness of an $\mathcal{A}(1)^{\mathrm{cl}}$-module does require the vanishing of three Margolis homology groups. We shall also see how to explain the vanishing line of slope 1, as well as the h_1-local part, see Example 5.6.

Classically Adams and Margolis have shown that all sub-Hopf algebras of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ are the obvious ones; namely the duals of the quotient

$$\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathrm{cl}}/(\xi_1^{p^{h(1)}}, \xi_2^{p^{h(2)}}, \dots, \tau_0^{2^{k(0)}}, \tau_1^{2^{k(1)}}, \dots)$$

where $0 \leq h(i) \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq k(i) \leq 1$, and the sequences $h = (h(1), h(2), \dots)$ and $k = (k(1), k(2), \dots)$ must satisfy certain conditions. The pair (h, k) is called the profile function of the sub-Hopf algebra. The first step in our work is to construct certain sub-Hopf algebras of $\mathcal{A}$ by a similar method. There is an added complexity arising from the fact that $\mathbb{M}_2$ is not a field. Using the notation of a free profile function (h, k), originally introduced in the C_2-equivariant Steenrod algebra by Ricka [Ric15], we construct certain quotient Hopf-algebras $B_{*,*}(h, k)$ that are free as $\mathbb{M}_2$-modules. In fact every quotient Hopf-algebra of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ that is free as an $\mathbb{M}_2$-module is of this form.

Theorem 3.7. A quotient Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ is free as an $\mathbb{M}_2$-module if and only if it is of the form $B_{*,*}(h, k)$ for a free profile function satisfying Conditions 3.1 and 3.2.

In order to state our main result, let P_t^s be dual to $\xi_t^{2^s}$ and Q_t be dual to τ_t. We shall see that these also make sense in the quotient $\mathcal{A}/\tau$, and there they satisfy $(P_t^s)^2 = 0$ for $s < t$ and $(Q_t)^2 = 0$, so we can define Margolis homology groups $H(M/\tau; Q_t)$ and $H(M/\tau; P_t^s)$ for an $\mathcal{A}$-module M. For the following, compare the main theorem of [MW81]. Note that we write $|P_t^s|$ for the topological degree (i.e., we ignore the weight).

Theorem 5.4. Let (h, k) be a free profile function, and $B = B(h, k)$ the corresponding sub-Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Let M be a B-module that is free and of finite type over $\mathbb{M}_2$.

(i) If $H(M/\tau; P_t^s) = 0$ for all $P_t^s \in B$ with $s < t$ and $H(M/\tau; Q_t) = 0$ for all $Q_t \in B$, then M is B-free.

(ii) If $H(M/\tau; P_t^s) = 0$ for all $P_t^s \in B$ such that $s < t$ and $|P_t^s| < d$ and if $H(M/\tau; Q_t) = 0$ for all Q_t such that $|Q_t| < d$, then $\mathrm{Ext}_B^{s,t,w}(M, \mathbb{M}_2) = 0$ for all (s, t) such that $t < ds - c$ with c depending only on d and the connectivity of M.

We note that if M is a $\mathcal{A}$-module, which is free and of finite type over $\mathbb{M}_2$, such that $x \in \mathcal{A}$ has the property that x^2 acts trivially on M, then $H(M; x) = 0$ if and only if $H(M/\tau; x) = 0$ see Lemma 5.3.

This paper is organized as follows: we first given an introduction to the motivic Steenrod algebra. We then construct certain sub-Hopf algebras of $\mathcal{A}$. The proof of our main theorem is carried out in Section 5, and relies on a reduction to $\mathcal{A}/\tau$, which is studied in more detail in Section 4.

Acknowledgments

The first author is grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics and the Universität Hamburg for hospitality, and to the Max Planck Institute and DFG Schwerpunktprogramm SPP 1786 for financial support. The second author is grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics and the International Max Planck Research School for hospitality and financial support.

2. Background

Let $\mathcal{SH}(\mathbb{C})$ be the homotopy category of motivic spectra over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, and let $p = 2$. We denote by $\mathbb{M}_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\tau]$ the motivic cohomology of a point, where $|\tau|$ has bidegree $(0,1)$ (an element in bidegree (t,w) has topological degree t and motivic weight w). Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the motivic Steenrod algebra, and $\mathcal{A}_{*,*} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{M}_2)$ its dual. The properties of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ have been determined by Voevodsky.

Theorem 2.1 (Voevodksy [Voe03a, Voe03b])**.** *(i) The motivic Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is the associative algebra over $\mathbb{M}_2$ generated by $\mathrm{Sq}^{2i} \in (2i, i)$ and $\mathrm{Sq}^{2i-1} \in (2i-1, i-1)$ for $i \geq 1$ subject to the following relations:*

$$\mathrm{Sq}^a \mathrm{Sq}^b = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \tau^{e_i} \binom{b-1-j}{a-2j} \mathrm{Sq}^{a+b-j} \mathrm{Sq}^j \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$e_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a, b \text{ even, and } j \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) The motivic Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a free $\mathbb{M}_2$-module on the admissible monomials Sq^I.[*]

(iii) The dual motivic Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ is given as an algebra by

$$\mathcal{A}_{*,*} \cong \mathbb{M}_2[\xi_1, \ldots, \tau_0, \ldots]/(\tau_i^2 = \tau \xi_{i+1}),$$

where the bigrading is given by $|\xi_i| = (2^{i+1} - 2, 2^i - 1)$, and $|\tau_i| = (2^{i+1} - 1, 2^i - 1)$.

(iv) The coproduct in $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ is given by

$$\psi_*(\xi_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \xi_{k-i}^{2^i} \otimes \xi_i$$

$$\psi_*(\tau_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \xi_{k-i}^{2^i} \otimes \tau_i + \tau_k \otimes 1.$$

Remark 2.2. (i) Sq^1 can be identified with the Bockstein β associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \to 0.$$

Note that the Adem relations show that $\mathrm{Sq}^{2i+1} = \beta \mathrm{Sq}^{2i}$ for all $i \geq 0$, where $\mathrm{Sq}^0 = 1$.

(ii) By a standard abuse of notation we denote the dual of τ in $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ by τ again - note that this now has bidegree $(0, -1)$.

[*]As usual, a sequence $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ is admissible if either $I = \emptyset$ or $k \geq 1, i_k \geq 1$ and $i_{j-1} \geq 2i_j$ for all $k \geq j \geq 2$. Then Sq^I denotes the composite $\mathrm{Sq}^{i_1} \ldots \mathrm{Sq}^{i_k}$.

The following is straightforward and can be proved in the same way as the classical case.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $E = (e_0, e_1, \ldots)$ be a sequence of ones and zeroes, almost all zero, and $R = (r_1, r_2, \ldots)$ a sequence of nonnegative integers, almost all zero. As an $\mathbb{M}_2$-module, $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ is free with basis*

$$\mathcal{B}_m \coloneqq \{\Pi_{i\geq 0}\tau_i^{e_i}\Pi_{j\geq 1}\xi_j^{r_j}\}.$$

Let $\rho(E,R)$ be the dual monomial basis of $\mathcal{A}$. We define

$$P^R = \rho(0,R)$$

and

$$Q(E) = \rho(E,0).$$

Additionally we let Q_i be dual to τ_i, i.e.,

$$Q_i = Q(0,\ldots,1,\ldots),$$

where the 1 is in the i-th spot. Finally, we define $P_t^s = P(0,\ldots,2^s,\ldots)$, where the 2^s is in the t-th position, to be the class dual to $\xi_t^{2^s}$.[†] Note that by [Voe03b, Prop. 13.6] we have

$$Q_i = [Q_0, P_i^0].$$

Moreover, $(Q_i)^2 = 0$ (note that this is false over fields for which $\sqrt{-1} \notin k$, see [Voe03b, Exmp. 13.7]). Classically we have $(P_t^s)^2 = 0$ whenever $s < t$; this is not true in this case. For example, $P_1^0 = \mathrm{Sq}^2$ is the class dual to ξ_1 (whereas classically we have $P_1^0 = \mathrm{Sq}^1$ at $p = 2$), and $\mathrm{Sq}^2\,\mathrm{Sq}^2 = \tau\,\mathrm{Sq}^3\,\mathrm{Sq}^1$. Note though that $(P_1^0)^2 \equiv 0 \mod (\tau)$; we shall see in Section 4 that this type of result is always true.

Also note that, under the embedding $\mathcal{A} \to \tau^{-1}\mathcal{A} \simeq \tau^{-1}\mathbb{M}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}$, $\rho(E,R)$ is mapped to a τ-multiple of the classical Milnor basis element P^{E+2R}. From this, it is easy to see that $(P_t^s)^2 = 0$ whenever $s < t-1$.

3. Some quotient Hopf algebras of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$

In this section, we introduce certain quotient Hopf algebras of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$, and identify conditions that ensure that they are free as $\mathbb{M}_2$-modules. The results are similar to the classification of quotient Hopf algebras of the classical odd-primary Steenrod algebra, although of course classically we do not need to worry about freeness.

To begin, let h be a function from the set $\{1,2,3,\ldots\}$ to the set $\{0,1,2,\ldots,\infty\}$, and let k be a function from the set $\{0,1,2,\ldots\}$ to the set $\{0,1,2,\ldots,\infty\}$. We call the pair (h,k) a *profile function*.

Definition 3.1. The quotient algebra $B_{*,*}(h,k)$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ by the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_i^{2^{h(i)}} &= 0 \quad (i = 1,2,3,\ldots)\\ \tau_j^{2^{k(j)}} &= 0 \quad (j = 0,1,2,\ldots),\end{aligned}$$

with the convention that if $h(i)$ or $k(j) = \infty$, then we impose no relation. We let $I(h,k)$ denote the ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ generated by these relations.

[†]Note that the indexing on Q starts at position 0, and that on P starts at position 1.

Note that the relation $\tau_i^2 = \tau\xi_{i+1}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ implies that two sequences (h,i) and (h',i') can give rise to isomorphic quotient algebras of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$. We can define a partial order on profile functions by $(h,k) \leq (h',k')$ if we have $h(n+1) \leq h'(n+1)$ and $k(n) \leq k'(n)$ for all $n \geq 0$. This leads to the following definition, originally due to Ricka [Ric15, Def. 5.9].

Definition 3.2. A profile function is minimal if it is minimal among profile functions (h',k') such that $I(h',k') = I(h,k)$.

The following is then proved in the same way as [Ric15, Lem. 5.10].

Lemma 3.3. *A profile function is minimal if and only if for all $i,n \geq 0$, $\tau_i^{2^n} \in I(h,k)$ is equivalent to $n \geq k(i)$.*

We now introduce conditions that ensure that $B_{*,*}(h,k)$ is a quotient Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}$.

Condition 3.1. For all $i,j \geq 1$ either $h(i) \leq j + h(i+j)$ or $h(j) \leq h(i+j)$.

Condition 3.2. For all $i \geq 1, j \geq 0$ either $h(i) \leq j + k(i+j)$ or $k(j) \leq k(i+j)$.

For the following compare [Ric15, Prop. 5.13] or the odd-primary case of [AM74].

Proposition 3.4. *Let (h,k) be a minimal profile functions satisfying Conditions 3.1 and 3.2. Then the quotient algebra $B_{*,*} = B_{*,*}(h,k)$ is a quotient Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$.*

Proof. We simply need to check that the coproduct passes through to the quotient. To that that end, note that we have

$$\psi(\xi_n^{2^{h(n)}}) = \sum_{i+j=n} \xi_i^{2^{j+h(n)}} \otimes \xi_j^{2^{h(n)}}$$

and

$$\psi(\tau_n^{2^{k(n)}}) = \tau_n^{2^{k(n)}} + \sum_{i+j=n} \xi_i^{2^{j+k(n)}} \otimes \tau_j^{2^{k(n)}}.$$

Assuming Condition 3.1, then either $\xi_i^{2^{j+h(n)}}$ or $\xi_j^{2^{h(n)}}$ are in $I(h,k)$. By definition $\tau_n^{2^{k(n)}}$ is in $I(h,k)$, whilst if we assume Condition 3.2 then either $\xi_i^{2^{j+k(n)}}$ or $\tau_j^{2^{k(n)}}$ are in $I(h,k)$. Note that the latter condition is equivalent to $k(j) \leq k(n)$ by minimality. It follows that ψ passes to the quotient as required. □

Recall that the classical Steenrod algebra is a Hopf algebra of finite type; that is, it is finitely generated as an $\mathbb{F}_2$-module in each degree. Since we are working over a field it is in addition automatically free as an $\mathbb{F}_2$-module in each degree. For such Hopf algebras, the dual inherits the structure of a Hopf algebra. One then easily checks that there is a one to one correspondence between quotient Hopf-algebras of the dual Steenrod algebra, and sub-Hopf algebras of the Steenrod algebra. Indeed, as noted in the introduction, the classification of sub-Hopf algebras of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ is proved via the classification of all quotient Hopf algebras of $\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathrm{cl}}$.

The situation in the motivic Steenrod algebra is more complicated since we work over the commutative ring $\mathbb{M}_2$. Since $\mathbb{M}_2$ is a principal ideal domain and $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ is free as an $\mathbb{M}_2$-module, any sub-Hopf algebra B of $\mathcal{A}$ is automatically free as an $\mathbb{M}_2$-module, and hence the dual quotient Hopf-algebra of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ is a free $\mathbb{M}_2$-module. However, if we start with a quotient Hopf algebra $C_{*,*}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$, then, in general, the best we can conclude is that the dual has an $\mathbb{M}_2$-free cokernel. For this reason, we restrict ourselves to those quotient Hopf-algebras which are free as $\mathbb{M}_2$-modules. Once again, our work is inspired by that of Ricka, although his result (in the context of the C_2-equivariant Steenrod algebra), is more complicated.

Definition 3.5. A profile function (h,k) is free if for all $i \geq 0$ we have

$$h(i+1) \leq \begin{cases} k(i)-1, & k(i) \neq 0 \\ 0, & k(i) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.6. One can easily check that if (h,k) is a free profile function satisfying Condition 3.1, then Condition 3.2 is automatically satisfied.

Although we cannot give a complete classification of quotient Hopf-algebras of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$, the free profile functions do give a complete classification of those that are free as $\mathbb{M}_2$-modules.

Theorem 3.7. *A quotient Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ is free as an $\mathbb{M}_2$-module if and only if it is of the form $B_{*,*}(h,k)$ for a free profile function satisfying Conditions 3.1 and 3.2.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}_{*,*} \to B_{*,*}$ be a quotient map. We get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{*,*} & \twoheadrightarrow & B_{*,*} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{*,*} & \twoheadrightarrow & \tau^{-1}B_{*,*} \end{array}$$

The right-hand map has kernel the τ-torsion in $B_{*,*}$, and therefore image the unique $\mathbb{M}_2$-free quotient of $B_{*,*}$.

There is a natural correspondence between $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces, and graded $\tau^{-1}\mathbb{M}_2$-modules. This gives $\tau^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{*,*} = \tau^{-1}\mathbb{M}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}_*$, and $\tau^{-1}B = \tau^{-1}\mathbb{M}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} B^{\mathrm{cl}}_{*,*}$ for some quotient B^{cl}_* of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}$. We can identify $B_{*,*}$ with the image of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ in $\tau^{-1}\mathbb{M}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} B^{\mathrm{cl}}_*$.

Denote the kernel of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}_* \to B^{\mathrm{cl}}_*$ by I^{cl}, then by the work of Adams and Margolis [AM74] I^{cl} has basis determined by some classical profile function h' (which satisfies Condition 3.1 by the main result of *loc. cit.*). More precisely, I^{cl} is generated by $\xi_i^{2^{h'(i)}}$.

We see that the kernel of the composite map $\mathcal{A}_{*,*} \to \tau^{-1}B_{*,*}$ is precisely the one with profile function (h,k) defined by $h(i) = h'(i) - 1$, $k(i) = h'(i+1)$. It follows that the image of $B_{*,*}$ in $\tau^{-1}B_{*,*}$ (which agrees with the image of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$) is of the form $B_{*,*}(h,k)$, for some free profile function (h,k). Therefore, $B_{*,*}$ is already of that form if it was $\mathbb{M}_2$-free to begin with. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let (h,k) be a free profile function satisfying Conditions 3.1 and 3.2. Let $(e_0, e_1, \ldots)$ be a sequence of ones and zeroes, almost all zero, with $e_i < 2^{k(i)}$, and $(r_1, r_2, \ldots)$ a sequence of nonnegative integers, almost all zero, with $r_i < 2^{h(i)}$. Then, as an $\mathbb{M}_2$-module, $B_{*,*}(h,k)$ is free with basis*

$$\mathcal{B}_{h,k} \coloneqq \{\Pi_{i\geq 0}\tau_i^{e_i}\Pi_{j\geq 1}\xi_j^{r_j}\}.$$

Proof. We can again consider $B_{*,*}(h,k)$ as the image of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ in $\tau^{-1}\mathbb{M}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} B^{\mathrm{cl}}_*(h')$ with $h'(i) = k(i-1)$. Then the statement follows from the corresponding classical statement, which is that $B^{\mathrm{cl}}_*(h')$ has basis the monomials

$$\Pi_{i\geq 1}\xi_i^{r_i'}$$

for $r_i' < h'(i)$. □

We now introduce a useful family of sub-Hopf algebras of $\mathcal{A}$.

Example 3.9. Let $\mathcal{A}(n)_{*,*}$ be the quotient Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ defined by the minimal profile function $h = (n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$ and $k = (n+1, n, n-1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$. Since $h(i+1) = k(i) - 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and both are 0 otherwise, the profile function is free, and $\mathcal{A}(n)_{*,*}$ is a finitely generated free $\mathbb{M}_2$-module. Of course, one easily sees that

$$\mathcal{A}(n)_{*,*} \cong \mathbb{M}_2[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n]/(\xi_i^{2^{n+1-i}}, \tau_i^2 = \tau\xi_{i+1}, \tau_n^2 = 0).$$

It is dual to the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by Sq^{2^i} for $i \leq n$.

4. The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{A}/\tau$

Let $\mathcal{A}/\tau$ denote the quotient of the motivic Hopf algebra by τ; note that this is a Hopf algebra over the field $\mathbb{F}_2 \cong \mathbb{M}_2/\tau$. In the introduction we claimed that this is a 2-primary version of the classical odd-primary Steenrod algebra. To make sense of that statement, recall that the classical odd-primary Steenrod algebra is generated by the Bockstein β, and operations P^i, along with Adem relations, given as follows: if $a < pb$,

$$P^a P^b = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor a/p \rfloor} (-1)^{a+j} \binom{(b-j)(p-1)-1}{a-pj} P^{a+b-j} P^j$$

and, if $a \leq pb$,

$$P^a \beta P^b = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor a/p \rfloor} (-1)^{a+j} \binom{(b-j)(p-1)}{a-pj} \beta P^{a+b-j} P^j + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor a/p \rfloor} (-1)^{a+j-1} \binom{(b-j)(p-1)-1}{a-pj-1} P^{a+b-j} \beta P^j$$

Note that these formulas still make sense when $p = 2$.

Based on this we let $P^a = \mathrm{Sq}^{2a}$; by Remark 2.2 the operations β and P^a generate the Steenrod algebra; they also generate $\mathcal{A}/\tau$.

Proposition 4.1. *The Adem relations in A/τ are given by*

$$P^a P^b = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \binom{b-k-1}{a-2k} P^{a+b-k} P^k \tag{4.1}$$

for $a < 2b$, and

$$P^a \beta P^b = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \binom{b-k}{a-2k} \beta P^{a+b-k} P^k + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \binom{b-k-1}{a-2k-1} P^{a+b-k} \beta P^k \tag{4.2}$$

for $a \leq 2b$.

Proof. The Adem relations (2.1) in $\mathcal{A}$ imply that in $\mathcal{A}/\tau$ we have

$$P^a P^b = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \binom{2b-1-2k}{2a-4k} P^{a+b-k} P^k$$

for $a < 2b$. Note that we have

$$\binom{2b-1-2k}{2a-4k} \equiv \binom{2(b-k-1)+1}{2(a-2k)} \equiv \binom{b-k-1}{a-2k} \mod (2),$$

by Lucas' theorem, so that (4.1) holds.

For the second, in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}/\tau$ we have

$$P^a\beta P^b = \mathrm{Sq}^{2a}\,\mathrm{Sq}^{2b+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{a}\binom{2b-j}{2a-2j}\mathrm{Sq}^{2a+2b+1-j}\,\mathrm{Sq}^j$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{j=0\\ j\text{ even}}}^{a}\binom{2b-j}{2a-2j}\mathrm{Sq}^{2a+2b+1-j}\,\mathrm{Sq}^j + \sum_{\substack{j=0\\ j\text{ odd}}}^{a}\binom{2b-j}{2a-2j}\mathrm{Sq}^{2a+2b+1-j}\,\mathrm{Sq}^j$$

Writing $j=2k$ for the first sum, and $j=2k+1$ for the second (for both, $k\geq 0$), and using an argument similar to the first part of the proposition, we see that (4.2) holds. □

Remark 4.2. In [Isa13, Lem. 2.11] Isaksen proves a closely related result, namely that the subquotient $\mathbb{M}_2$-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by Sq^{2k}, subject to the relation $\tau=0$, is isomorphic to the classic mod 2 Steenrod algebra.

In light of this, the identification of the dual $(\mathcal{A}/\tau)_{*,*}=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{A}/\tau,\mathbb{M}_2/\tau)$ is not surprising.

Lemma 4.3. *The dual $(\mathcal{A}/\tau)_{*,*}$ is isomorphic to*

$$\mathcal{A}_{*,*}/\tau \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\xi_1,\ldots]\otimes\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\tau_0,\ldots).$$

Proof. First note that

$$(\mathcal{A}/\tau)_{*,*} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{A}/\tau,\mathbb{M}_2/\tau) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(\mathcal{A}/\tau,\mathbb{M}_2/\tau)$$
$$\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(\mathcal{A},\mathbb{M}_2/\tau).$$

There is a short exact sequence

$$0\to\mathbb{M}_2\xrightarrow{\tau}\mathbb{M}_2\to\mathbb{M}_2/\tau\to 0,$$

and applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(\mathcal{A},-)$ we get a short exact sequence

$$0\to\mathcal{A}_{*,*}\xrightarrow{\tau}\mathcal{A}_{*,*}\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(\mathcal{A},\mathbb{M}_2/\tau)\to 0,$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathbb{M}_2$-free. It follows that, as $\mathbb{F}_2$-modules, $(\mathcal{A}/\tau)_{*,*}\cong\mathcal{A}_{*,*}/\tau$, and it is not hard to check that this is compatible with the Hopf algebra structures. □

Recall that P^t_s is dual to $\xi_t^{2^s}$ - this holds also in $\mathcal{A}/\tau$. The formal similarities between $\mathcal{A}/\tau$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ allow one to prove the following, cf. [MP72, Prop. 2.3].

Corollary 4.4. *In $\mathcal{A}/\tau$ we have $(P^t_s)^2=0$ for $s<t$.*

Since τ does not appear in formula for the coproduct of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$, the coproduct for $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}/\tau$ is given by

$$\psi_*(\xi_k)=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\xi_{k-i}^{2^i}\otimes\xi_i$$
$$\psi_*(\tau_k)=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\xi_{k-i}^{2^i}\otimes\tau_i+\tau_k\otimes 1.$$

Let (h,l) be a profile function for $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}/\tau$; note that since the classes τ_i are now exterior, l is now only required to be a function from the set $\{0,1,2,\ldots\}$ to the set $\{0,1\}$. Let $D_{*,*}(h,l)$ denote the quotient of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}/\tau$ by the ideal generated by the relations generated by h and l, and write

$D(h,l)$ for the corresponding sub-Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}/\tau$. Similar to Proposition 3.4, we can define quotient Hopf algebras of $\mathcal{A}/\tau$ by imposing conditions on the functions h and l.

Condition 4.1. For all $i,j \geq 1$ either $h(i) \leq j + h(i+j)$ or $h(j) \leq h(i+j)$.

Condition 4.2. For all $i \geq 1, j \geq 0$ such that $l(i+j) = 0$ either $h(i) \leq j$ or $l(j) = 0$.

Proposition 4.5 (Adams–Margolis [AM74])**.** *If the profile function (h,l) satisfies Conditions 4.1 and 4.2 then $D(h,l)$ is a sub-Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}/\tau$, and moreover every sub-Hopf algebra is of this form.*

Sketch of proof. This is not quite proved in [AM74], however the proof is analogous. First, it is easy to check, as in Proposition 3.4, that the coproduct passes to the quotient, so that $D_{*,*}(h,l) = (\mathcal{A}/\tau)_{*,*}/I(h,l)$ is a quotient Hopf algebra, and hence $D(h,l)$ is a sub-Hopf algebra. As in [AM74], to prove that every sub-Hopf algebra is of this form, we define $(P_n)_{*,*}$ to be the polynomial subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$ generated by $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$, and $(R_n)_{*,*}$ to be the subalgebra generated by $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$ and $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$. For $n = -1$ we interpret $(R_{-1})_*$ as P_∞ and for $n = \infty$ we interpret $(R_\infty)_{*,*}$ as $\mathcal{A}_{*,*}$. One then proves the obvious analog of the current proposition for P_n and R_n, via an induction on n, using the exact arguments given by Adams and Margolis. The only minor thing to keep in mind is that when Adams and Margolis talk about degree, this refers only to the topological degree - the motivic weight does not play a role. □

Since $(Q_i)^2 = 0$ and $(P_t^s)^2 = 0$ for $s < t$ in $\mathcal{A}/\tau$, then given an $\mathcal{A}/\tau$-module M, we can define Margolis homology groups with respect to these elements:

$$H(M;Q_i) = \frac{\ker Q_i \colon M \to M}{\operatorname{im} Q_i \colon M \to M} \quad \text{and} \quad H(M;P_t^s) = \frac{\ker P_t^s \colon M \to M}{\operatorname{im} P_t^s \colon M \to M.}$$

For the following, we can then essentially quote the proof of the main theorem of [MW81]. Note that here $(m-1)$-connected refers to the topological degree.

Theorem 4.6. *Let A be a sub-Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}/\tau$, and let M be an $(m-1)$-connected A-module.*

(i) If $H(M;P_t^s) = 0$ for all $P_t^s \in A$ with $s < t$ and $H(M;Q_i) = 0$ for all $Q_i \in A$, then M is A-free.

(ii) If $H(M;P_t^s) = 0$ for all $P_t^s \in A$ such that $s < t$ and $|P_t^s| < d$ and if $H(M;Q_t) = 0$ for all $Q_t \in A$ such that $|Q_t| < d$, then $\operatorname{Tor}^A_{s,t,w}(\mathbb{F}_2, M) = 0$ whenever $t < ds - c$ with c depending only on d and m. Moreover, if M is of finite type, then $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{s,t,w}(M, \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$ for $t < ds - c$.

Sketch of proof. As noted, we can simply quote Miller–Wilkerson, but for the benefit of the reader we outline the argument. Following their lead, we only prove (ii) and leave (i) for the reader. The first step is to reduce to A being finite-dimensional using [MW81, Prop. 3.2]. The proof is then by induction on the $\mathbb{F}_2$-dimension of A, using the observation that any finite-dimensional sub-Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}/\tau$ can be built out of extensions of the form

$$B \to A \to E[x],$$

where $E[x]$ refers to the Hopf algebra $E[x] = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^2)$.

Now given the dual of A in the form $D_{*,*}(h,k)$, we let

$$t = \min\{j \colon h(j) > 0 \text{ or } k(j-1) > 0\}.$$

In the case where $h(t) = 0$, there is an extension of the form

$$B \to A \to E[Q_{t-1}].$$

If $h(t) > 0$, then we have an extension of the form

$$B \to A \to E[P_t^s],$$

and we naturally need to consider the cases where $s < t$ and $s \geq t$ separately. All three cases are handled in the same way as in [MW81]; perhaps the only thing to check is that Prop. 4.1 of *loc. cit.* still holds, but it is easy to see that the same proof works in this case. □

Finally, we prove some small lemmas that will be useful in the sequel. Given $k\colon \{0,1,2,\dots\} \to \{0,1,2,\dots,\infty\}$, let $k_\tau\colon \{0,1,2\dots,\} \to \{0,1\}$ be defined by

$$k_\tau(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k(j) = 0 \\ 1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.7. *If (h,k) satisfies Conditions 3.1 and 3.2 then (h,k_τ) satisfies Conditions 4.1 and 4.2.*

Proof. Condition 3.1 and Condition 4.1 are the same. For the second, note that $k_\tau(i+j) = 0 \Leftrightarrow k(i+j) = 0$, so that if $h(i) \leq j + k(i+j)$, then $h(i) \leq j$, whilst $k(j) \leq k(i+j)$, implies that $k(j) = 0$, so that $k_\tau(j) = 0$. Thus if Condition 3.2 is satisfied for (h,k), then Condition 4.2 is satisfied for (h,k_τ). □

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose (h,k) is a minimal free profile function, and let $B(h,k)$ be the corresponding sub Hopf-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $B_{*,*}(h,k)/\tau \cong D_{*,*}(h,k_\tau)$ and $B(h,k)/\tau \cong D(h,k_\tau)$.*

Proof. It is easy to check from the definitions that $B_{*,*}(h,k)/\tau \cong D_{*,*}(h,k_\tau)$. Since (h,k) is a free profile function, $B_{*,*}(h,k)$ is a free $\mathbb{M}_2$-module. Arguing as Lemma 4.3 one checks that

$$\begin{aligned} B(h,k)/\tau = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{M}_2}(B_{*,*}(h,k), \mathbb{M}_2)/\tau &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(B_{*,*}(h,k)/\tau, \mathbb{M}_2/\tau) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(D_{*,*}(h,k_\tau), \mathbb{F}_2) \\ &\cong D(h,k_\tau). \end{aligned}$$

□

5. Margolis homology, projective modules, and vanishing lines

In this section, we complete the proof of the main theorem and return to the motivating examples in the introduction. We begin with a definition, followed by a key lemma, essentially appearing in [GIR16].

Definition 5.1. We say that a bigraded module $M^{t,w}$ is $(m-1)$-connected provided $M^{t,w} = 0$ when $t < m$, and it is connective if it is $(m-1)$-connected for some m. M is of finite type if it is connective and each $M^{t,*}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{M}_2$-module.

Lemma 5.2. *Let A be a connected Hopf Algebra over $\mathbb{M}_2$, and let M be an A-module that is free and of finite type over $\mathbb{M}_2$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) M is projective as an A-module.

(ii) M/τ is projective as an A/τ-module.

(iii) M/τ is free as an A/τ-module.

(iv) M is free as an A-module.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): For M an A-module, and N an A/τ-module, we have a change-of-rings isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{A/\tau}(M/\tau, N)$$

Since M is free over $\mathbb{M}_2$, this derives to an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^s_A(M,N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^s_{A/\tau}(M/\tau, N)$$

Now if M is projective over A, this proves that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{A/\tau}(M/\tau, -)$ vanishes, so M/τ is projective over A/τ.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): For a connected Hopf algebra over a field, projective implies free for connective modules. To see this, for a projective module N form the minimal free resolution F_i. This has the property that all the differentials have coefficients in the augmentation ideal of A/τ. So $\mathrm{Ext}^s_{A/\tau}(N,k) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{A/\tau}(F_s,k)$, and N projective implies $F_1 = 0$. But then $F_0 \to N$ is an isomorphism and N is free.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Choose a basis of M/τ over A/τ. Lifting that to M, we get a map from a corresponding free A-module $F \to M$. By assumption, that map induces an isomorphism $F/\tau \to M/\tau$. Since M is $\mathbb{M}_2$-free, $M/\tau \cong \tau^k M/\tau^{k+1}$, and analogously for F. By induction via the five-lemma, we see that the induced map $F/\tau^k \to M/\tau^k$ is an isomorphism for any k. Since M is finite type over $\mathbb{M}_2$, this implies that $F \to M$ is an isomorphism.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is clear. □

Finally, we note the following relation between Margolis homology groups in $\mathcal{A}/\tau$ and in $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let M be an $\mathcal{A}$-module, which is free and of finite type over $\mathbb{M}_2$, and let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that x^2 acts trivially on M. Then $H(M;x) = 0$ if and only if $H(M/\tau;x) = 0$.*

Proof. The long exact sequence in Margolis homology associated to the short exact sequence $0 \to M \xrightarrow{\cdot\tau} M \to M/\tau \to 0$ shows that if $H(M;x) = 0$, then $H(M/\tau;x) = 0$. Conversely, if $H(M/\tau;x) = 0$, then $H(M;x) \xrightarrow{\cdot\tau} H(M;x)$ is an isomorphism. By assumption on M, $H(M;x)$ vanishes in low motivic weight (depending on the degree), and since τ is an isomorphism, it must vanish in all weights, and hence $H(M;x) = 0$.[‡] □

We now give the proof of our main theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *Let (h,k) be a free profile function, and $B = B(h,k)$ the corresponding sub-Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Let M be a B-module that is free and of finite type over $\mathbb{M}_2$.*

(i) If $H(M/\tau; P^s_t) = 0$ for all $P^s_t \in B$ with $s < t$ and $H(M/\tau; Q_t) = 0$ for all $Q_t \in B$, then M is B-free.

(ii) If $H(M/\tau; P^s_t) = 0$ for all $P^s_t \in B$ such that $s < t$ and $|P^s_t| < d$ and if $H(M/\tau; Q_t) = 0$ for all Q_t such that $|Q_t| < d$, then $\mathrm{Ext}_B^{s,t,w}(M, \mathbb{M}_2) = 0$ for all (s,t) such that $t < ds - c$ with c depending only on d the connectivity of M.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 5.2 it suffices to show that M/τ is free as a B/τ-module, or by Lemma 4.8, equivalently as a $D(h,k_\tau)$-module. Now $P^s_t \in B$ if and only if $P^t_s \in D(h,k_\tau)$, and similar for Q_t. The result then follows from Theorem 4.6.

[‡]This argument is similar to that given in [GIR16, Lem. 3.4].

(ii) By Theorem 4.6 $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t,w}_{B/\tau}(M/\tau, \mathbb{F}_2)$ has a vanishing line of the claimed form. Associated to the filtration of B by powers of τ there is a quadruply-graded algebraic Miller–Novikov type Bockstein spectral sequence

$$E_1 = \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*,*}_{B/\tau}(M/\tau, \mathbb{F}_2)[\tau] \implies \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*,*}_{B}(M, \mathbb{M}_2).$$

This is convergent by the assumptions on M (see [Mil81, Sec. 8]). Given a homogeneous element $x \in (s,t,w,u)$, where u is the Bockstein degree, then the degree of $d_r(x)$ is $(s+1, t, w, u+r)$. Since τ has motivic bidegree $(0,-1)$, the vanishing line (which is only is the (s,t)-plane) of $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t,w}_{B/\tau}(\mathbb{M}/\tau, \mathbb{F}_2)$ extends to the E_1-page of the spectral sequence. The differentials cannot affect this vanishing line for degree reasons, and the result follows. □

We now return to the examples given in the introduction.

Example 5.5. [GIR16, Prop. 4.7] Let $\mathcal{A}(1)$ be the sub-Hopf algebra generated by Sq^1 and Sq^2, with dual

$$\mathcal{A}(1)_{*,*} \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{M}_2}[\tau_0, \tau_1, \xi_1].$$

We have Q_0, Q_1 and P^0_1 in $\mathcal{A}(1)$, and so we see that a finite type $\mathcal{A}(1)$-module M is free only if:

(i) M is a free $\mathbb{M}_2$-module;
(ii) $H(M/\tau; Q_0) = 0$;
(iii) $H(M/\tau; Q_1) = 0$;
(iv) $H(M/\tau; P^0_1) = 0$,

which is in fact a slight strengthening of the result in *loc. cit.* The converse also follows by an easy calculation.

Our results also cover [GI15, Prop. 3.2], namely that a bounded below $\mathcal{A}(0)$-module of finite type is free as an $\mathcal{A}(0)$-module if and only if it is free as an $\mathbb{M}_2$-module and $H(M; Q_0) = 0$; here we need to use Lemma 5.3 to lift from $H(M/\tau; Q_0) = 0$ to $H(M; Q_0) = 0$.

Example 5.6. [GI15] Although it is not proved explicitly, the method of Guillou and Isaksen can easily be used to show that if M is a bounded-below $\mathcal{A}$-module of finite type that is free as a $\mathcal{A}(0)$-module, then $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t,w}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, \mathbb{M}_2)$ has a vanishing line of slope 1.

To recover this from our work, observe that since $H(M/\tau, Q_0) = 0$, Theorem 5.4 applies with $d = 2$, so that $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t,w}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, \mathbb{M}_2) = 0$ whenever $t < 2s - c$ (i.e. M has a vanishing line of slope 1).

Guillou and Isaksen prove in [GI15, Prop. 7.2] that for such an M the map[§]

$$h_1 \colon \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t,w}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, \mathbb{M}_2) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s+1,t+2,w+1}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, \mathbb{M}_2) \tag{5.1}$$

is an isomorphism if $t \leq 3s-4$ and a surjection if $t \leq 3s-1$. To recover this from our results (at least the slope - we are not precise about the exact value of the constant), note that corresponding to $h_1 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,2,1}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, M)$ there is an exact sequence of modules

$$0 \to M \to M//h_1 \to \Sigma^{-2,-1} M \to 0.$$

It is easy to see that $(M//h_1)/\tau$ has vanishing Margolis homologies with respect to Q_0 and P^0_1, so that $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t,w}_{\mathcal{A}}(M//h_1, \mathbb{M}_2) = 0$ whenever $t < 3s - c'$ (i.e. $M//h_1$ has a vanishing line of slope $1/2$). The long exact sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{h_1} \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t+2,w+1}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, \mathbb{M}_2) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t,w}_{\mathcal{A}}(M//h_1, \mathbb{M}_2) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t,w}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, \mathbb{M}_2) \xrightarrow{h_1} \cdots$$

[§]Note that we use a different grading convention to [GI15] - a class we write in $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t,w}$ corresponds to a class in $\mathrm{Ext}^{t-s,s,w}$ under their conventions.

implies that (5.1) is an injection when $t < 3s - c'$ and a surjection when $t < 3s + 3 - c'$. Hence we see that h_1-multiplication is an isomorphism above a line of slope $1/2$ as claimed.

References

[AD73] Donald W. Anderson and Donald M. Davis, *A vanishing theorem in homological algebra*, Comment. Math. Helv. **48** (1973), 318–327. MR 0334207 (cit. on p. 1).

[Ada66] J. F. Adams, *A periodicity theorem in homological algebra*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **62** (1966), 365–377. MR 0194486 (cit. on p. 1).

[AM71] J. F. Adams and H. R. Margolis, *Modules over the Steenrod algebra*, Topology **10** (1971), 271–282. MR 0294450 (cit. on p. 1).

[AM74] ———, *Sub-Hopf-algebras of the Steenrod algebra*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **76** (1974), 45–52. MR 0341487 (cit on pp. 1, 5, 6, 9).

[DHS88] Ethan S. Devinatz, Michael J. Hopkins, and Jeffrey H. Smith, *Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), no. 2, 207–241. MR 960945 (cit. on p. 1).

[GI15] Bertrand J. Guillou and Daniel C. Isaksen, *The motivic Adams vanishing line of slope* $\frac{1}{2}$, New York J. Math. **21** (2015), 533–545. MR 3386536 (cit on pp. 1, 12).

[GIR16] Bogdan Gheorghe, Daniel C Isaksen, and Nicolas Ricka, *The Picard group of motivic* $A(1)$, arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.05191 (2016). (cit on pp. 1, 2, 10, 11, 12).

[HS98] Michael J. Hopkins and Jeffrey H. Smith, *Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory. II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **148** (1998), no. 1, 1–49. MR 1652975 (cit. on p. 1).

[Isa13] D. C. Isaksen, *The cohomology of the motivic Steenrod algebra over Spec*$\mathbb{C}$, arXiv e-prints (2013). (cit. on p. 8).

[Mil81] Haynes R. Miller, *On relations between Adams spectral sequences, with an application to the stable homotopy of a Moore space*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **20** (1981), no. 3, 287–312. MR 604321 (cit. on p. 11).

[MP72] John C. Moore and Franklin P. Peterson, *Modules over the Steenrod algebra*, Topology **11** (1972), 387–395. MR 0377880 (cit on pp. 1, 8).

[MW81] Haynes Miller and Clarence Wilkerson, *Vanishing lines for modules over the Steenrod algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **22** (1981), no. 3, 293–307. MR 629336 (cit on pp. 1, 2, 9, 10).

[Ric15] Nicolas Ricka, *Subalgebras of the* $\mathbb{Z}/2$*-equivariant Steenrod algebra*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **17** (2015), no. 1, 281–305. MR 3350083 (cit on pp. 2, 4, 5).

[Voe03a] Vladimir Voevodsky, *Motivic cohomology with* $\mathbf{Z}/2$*-coefficients*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2003), no. 98, 59–104. MR 2031199 (cit. on p. 3).

[Voe03b] ———, *Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2003), no. 98, 1–57. MR 2031198 (cit on pp. 3, 4).

Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Hamburg, Bundesstrasse 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

E-mail address: drew.heard@uni-hamburg.de

Max-Planck-Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany

E-mail address: achim@mpim-bonn.mpg.de